

**\*E-Filed 7/29/11\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MIGUEL A. PANTOJA and MARIA  
PANTOJA,

No. C 11-00268 RS

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION**

Plaintiffs,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA; DOE  
CORPORATIONS 1-10; DOES 1-10,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs Miguel Pantoja and Maria Pantoja twice failed to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition, as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3, to motions to dismiss their first and second amended complaints brought by defendant BAC Home Loan Servicing, LP (sued as Bank of America). Thus, on July 20, 2011, the Court issued an Order requiring plaintiffs to show cause in writing why their case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The Order warned plaintiffs that failure to respond by July 28, 2011 or to appear at a show cause hearing on August 11, 2011 would result in dismissal. As plaintiffs failed to file a written response to the Order to Show Cause, no hearing is warranted and this action is now dismissed for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/29/11



---

RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE